

1. Progressive Design-Build – What is it? Discuss Pros and Cons. What types of projects might be good candidates? Any desire to further develop this into another Alternative Contract delivery tool?

*Progressive doesn't mean it is modern or the next evolution. Progressive refers to the progression through the life for the DB project and the roles and decisions that are made by the Owner and DB Team along the way. In a nutshell, this is how it works....selection based solely on qualifications of DB Team (no price); Owner participates collaboratively with DB Team during Design Phase; after substantial design is complete negotiations for GMP take place; if price is agreed to then construction commences.*

*I think there could be some application for this type of alternative contracting on projects that have very little time for the Owner to develop concept plans and/or there is significant stakeholder (i.e. local government) interest in certain aspects of the project. A very recent example would be pedestrian improvements along US 92 in front of the Daytona International Speedway. We have to move fast, we have very little to start with and the locals will have significant interest in the details. This could be another potential tool in the tool box.*

2. EOR implications for CSIs – CSIs can be identified by Contractor, Designer or CEI/DOT (through recommended ATCs from other proposals). Do the contract agreements between the Contractor and Designer include provisions for implementing CSIs?

*This is a discussion more for the DB teams than for FDOT personnel, however this was a concern that was expressed during the DBIA conference.*

3. Should the FDOT adopt of formal, required meeting similar to D5's Scope Commitment & Coordination Meeting (need to attach agenda)? Other Districts have similar meetings or agenda topics for pre-con. Would there be a benefit to capture all the best practices and define a specific meeting, develop a standard agenda template and recommended timeframe to have it?

*District V has what is called a scope commitment meeting where during the time between "apparent winner" and award letter, the FDOT TRC and advisors get together and discuss all of the commitments that were made by the DB team during the proposal and Q&A stages of the procurement. As each FDOT member reviews the proposals they note any items that the DB teams say they will do, which are above and beyond the scope. They also note any commitments made in the Q&A as well. Once the apparent winner is identified, the FDOT TRC and advisors reconvene to summarize, discuss and agree as to which discipline will be responsible for making sure the commitment is completed. This is summarized in a spread sheet (sample attached) and presented to the DB Firm for concurrence.*

*We developed this system to ensure that commitments were not forgotten or overlooked.*